PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING A	UTHORITY		Frist note				
To:			PCT \(\psi_{\psi_s}				
ONSAGERS AS							
P O Box 6963 St Ola N-0130 OSLO	vs plass	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
Norway		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
		•	(10114104381)				
•		D-4 6 - 31					
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	3 0 -08- 2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	,	FOR FURTHER A					
John 10 116099/sko		See paragraph 2 below					
International application No.	International filing da	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/NO 2004/000071	12.03.2004		13.03.2003				
International Patent Classification (IP	C) or both national classi	fication and IPC					
A01G 13/02 // A01G	1/00, A01G 13	3/06					
Applicant							
Johnsen, Torfinn			·				
1. This opinion contains indications	elating to the following i	items:					
Box No. I Basis of the	-						
Box No. II Priority			:				
Box No. III Non-establis	hment of opinion with re	gard to novelty inventiv	e sten and industrial applicability				
i K	The composition of opinion with regard to noverty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. V Reasoned sta							
Box No. VI Certain docu		us supporting such states	nent				
Box No. VII Certain defe	ts in the international an	nlication					
Box No. VIII Certain obse							
		an approation					
2. FURTHER ACTION	•						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
No.							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE Authorized officer Patent- och registreringsverket							
Box 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Ingrid Falk/EK							
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88 Telephone No. +46 9 782 25 00							

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/NO 2004/000071

Во	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	in which i	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		uis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 1.1(b)).
2.	a. type of	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
		in written format in computer readable form
		of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	me	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been ed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to at in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additiona	al comments:

International application No.
PCT/NO 2004/000071

Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
5	n response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest not paid additional fees					
3. This Au	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees. authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with not complied with for the following reasons:					
water-so a film or functions on the er	s 1 - 32 and 34 - 56, are related to a mixture, for treating a soil surface or a soil-mass. Intion is characterized in that the mixture includes a powder base-mixture consisting of a luble, dried and grinded organic raw material, a pigment and a thickener for forming of membrane on the soil surface. The base-mixture includes at least one component that is sufficiently anti-oxidizing in itself to give the membrane /film an anti-oxidizing effect invironment. 33 is related to a mixture for treating a soil surface in order to damp the					
erosion. The mixture consists of from 95 to 99,7 water and from 0,3 to 5 % alginate. The technical relationships between the two inventions defined in the claims lack a common special technical feature, which defines a contribution over the prior art.						
L all	nently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: I parts e parts relating to claims Nos.					

International application No.
PCT/NO 2004/000071

Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statemen	at					
	Novelty (N)		Claims	1-32, 34-56	YES		
			Claims	1,2,33	МО		
	Inven	tive step (IS)	Claims		YES		
			Claims	1-56	NO NO		
	Indus	trial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-56	YES		
			Claims		NO		

2. Citations and explanations:

The invention according to claim 1-32 and 34-56 relates to a mixture comprising a water-soluble organic raw material which, when added to the soil forms an environment-friendly, biodegradable and anti-oxidising membrane on top of and/or at a given depth in the soil which has a soil and growth enhancing effect without impoverishing the soil.

Claim 33 is related to a mixture for treating a soil surface in order to damp the erosion with a mixture that consists of from 95 to 99,7 water and from 0,3 to 5 % alginate.

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: WO 0170012 A1

D2: US 6029395

D3: NO 164106 B

D4: EP 0177226 A2

D5: US2961799 A

D6: WO 9801510 A1

D7: US 3891571 A

D8: GB 903959 A

D9: US 3180097 A

D10: EP 0274851 A1

D1 and D2 disclose different mixtures that include a water-soluble organic material for forming of a film or membrane on the soil, which has a soil and growth enhancing effect. The mixtures contain different additives, which will give the coating different properties such as different oxidation properties. In D2 the mixture may even comprises a special antioxidant (col.5 line3).

International application No. PCT/NO 2004/000071

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box V

Consequently, the invention according to claims 1 or 2 is not novel.

The function of the film or membrane in the documents D1 and D2 is the same as those mentioned in claims 4-32 and 34-56 in this application. Consequently, to the extent claims 4-32. 34-56 refer to claims 1 or 2 no inventive step can be found.

D8 and D9 disclose a mixture for treating a soil surface in order to damp the erosion with a mixture consisting of water and alginate with amounts within the mentioned interval. Consequently, the invention according to claim 32 is not novel.

D3-D7 and D10 reveal the state of the art for applying different compositions to soil surfaces in order to provide a covering, with a soil and growth enhancing effect.